

IWFL Zermelo Computer Rankings
Week 11 of 11

June 22, 2008

Rnk	Team	Rating	(W-L)
---	----	-----	-----
1	PITTSBURGH PASSION PA (1)	3458.137	(8-0)
2	CHICAGO FORCE IL (1)	3406.696	(8-0)
3	SEATTLE MAJESTICS WA (1)	2786.546	(8-0)
4	DALLAS DIAMONDS TX (1)	1910.032	(8-0)
5	CALIFORNIA QUAKE (1)	1103.342	(8-0)
6	BOSTON MILITIA MA (1)	788.590	(6-2)
7	DETROIT DEMOLITION MI (1)	786.938	(7-1)
8	ATLANTA XPLOSION GA (1)	674.611	(6-2)
9	NEW YORK SHARKS (1)	650.926	(6-2)
10	CLARKSVILLE FOX TN (2)	605.325	(7-1)
11	ORLANDO MAYHEM FL (1)	558.628	(7-1)
12	CAROLINA PHOENIX NC (2)	387.500	(7-1)
13	MONTREAL BLITZ QC (2)	374.042	(7-1)
14	CORVALLIS PRIDE OR (1)	317.270	(5-3)
15	PORTLAND SHOCKWAVE OR (1)	265.275	(5-3)
16	SACRAMENTO SIRENS CA (1)	220.382	(4-4)
17	WISCONSIN WOLVES (1)	186.343	(4-4)
18	D.C. DIVAS MD (1)	180.182	(4-4)
19	LAS VEGAS SHOWGIRLZ NV (1)	158.750	(5-3)
20	CAROLINA QUEENS NC (2)	114.987	(4-4)
21	KANSAS CITY TRIBE MO (1)	95.351	(4-4)
22	NEW ENGLAND INTENSITY MA (2)	78.580	(5-3)
23	MIAMI FURY FL (1)	75.738	(3-5)
24	SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA BREAKERS (1)	69.363	(4-4)
25	SHREVEPORT AFTERSHOCK LA (2)	62.959	(4-4)
26	BALTIMORE NIGHTHAWKS MD (1)	62.340	(2-6)
27	MANCHESTER FREEDOM NH (2)	61.253	(4-4)
28	SANTA ROSA SCORCHERS CA (1)	55.535	(2-2)
29	WISCONSIN WARRIORS (1)	40.267	(2-6)
30	OAKLAND BANSHEES CA (X)	35.001	(0-4)
31	NEW TEAM 1 (X)	26.097	(0-1)
32	LOUISIANA FUEL (2)	24.958	(1-7)
33	TUCSON MONSOON AZ (1)	21.990	(2-6)
34	CAPE FEAR THUNDER NC (2)	19.973	(2-6)
35	HOLYOKE HURRICANES MA (2)	13.666	(2-6)
36	CENTRAL PA VIPERS PA (1)	12.612	(0-8)
37	COLUMBUS PHANTOMS OH (1)	12.024	(0-7)
38	SOUTHERN MAINE REBELS (2)	10.051	(1-7)
39	PALM BEACH PUNISHERS FL (1)	9.314	(0-8)
40	IOWA CRUSH (1)	8.024	(0-8)
41	REDDING RAGE CA (1)	7.267	(0-8)
42	NEW MEXICO MENACE (1)	4.406	(0-8)
43	CONNECTICUT CYCLONES (X)	2.952	(0-4)
---	----	-----	-----

Under the Zermelo format the same eight teams in Tier 1 make the playoffs as they did in the Jack Harbin format. The seeds are slightly different though but the subjective determined matchups would undoubtedly be the same to minimize overall travel costs for the teams in the first round:

#6 Boston (6-2) at #1 Pittsburgh (8-0)
#8 Atlanta (6-2) at #4 Dallas (8-0)
#7 Detroit (7-1) at #2 Chicago (8-0)
#5 California (8-0) at #3 Seattle (8-0)

In Tier 2, there's a twist! New England stays home while the Carolina Queens take the last spot. Based on minimizing travel costs the subjective matchups in the first round would probably be as follows:

#4 Carolina Queens (4-4) at #2 Carolina Phoenix (7-1)
#3 Montreal (7-1) at #1 Clarksville (7-1)

This link describes the particulars of the Zermelo format:
<http://www.vaporio.com/sports/collegefootballkrach.html>

Advantages of Zermelo over Jack Harbin:

- Unlike Jack Harbin, Zermelo seeks to honor who beat who from top to bottom. In other words, ...if A beats B, and B beats C, the list would be 1. A, 2. B, 3. C
- Jack Harbin is a point scoring system that does not guarantee 'who beat who'.

Disadvantages of Zermelo compared to Jack Harbin:

- Requires a computer program to simultaneously compute each team's rating. Team ratings are interdependent on each other.
- Jack Harbin values can be computed by hand.